

Skin Moisturization Study:

Fig.1 Graph of the mean visual scores for the Dryness data.




Table 1


Evaluation Time	Baseline	1	2	4	6	8	24
Post-Foamer CC	1.95	0.35	0.43	0.65	0.73	0.78	1.63
Post-Foamer HH	1.90	0.10	0.23	0.33	0.50	0.50	1.60
Post-Foamer II	2.03	0.23	0.18	0.38	0.45	0.43	1.58
Post-Foamer A	1.98	0.63	1.05	1.18	1.35	1.33	1.80
Unreated	1.85	0.08	0.20	0.28	0.40	0.43	1.45
Unreated	1.90	1.80	1.80	1.75	1.83	1.88	1.80

Table 1 - Dryness Data

for the "Shiseido" Skin Moisturization Study:

Fig. 2

Graph of the average replicate scores for the Skicon data



Evaluation Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Post-Foamer G6	90.10	76.81	78.38	78.08	75.59	77.64														79.77
Post-Foamer H4	92.14	84.10	85.46	82.90	82.74	82.82														83.97
Post-Foamer H2	87.05	84.10	81.70	80.92	84.10	82.94														86.79
Post-Foamer A	86.44	62.03	61.26	59.78	61.15	60.62														73.72
II Med	91.18	85.50	80.34	86.05	83.00	81.20														79.75
Untreated	91.32	83.11	85.25	85.56	83.37	85.02														82.65

Table 2 - Skicon Data

Fig. 3

Skin Moisturization Study:

Graph of the average replicate scores for the Corneometer data




Table 3 - Corneometer Data

Evaluation Time	1	2	4	6	8	24
Post-Foamer G	52.35	54.24	55.57	56.54	54.35	51.89
Post-Foamer H	52.38	53.65	56.03	56.14	55.17	51.70
Post-Foamer I	52.20	54.17	54.82	55.37	55.58	52.20
Post-Foamer A	51.67	51.50	53.67	54.26	53.34	50.75
H Next	54.40	54.61	56.56	57.59	55.47	53.00
Untreated	53.62	53.33	53.41	54.87	53.87	52.21

Page 5 of 5




Fig. 4

Viscosity vs. Shear stress for Edge (R) gel




Fig. 5

Viscosity vs. Shear stress of inventive and comparative lotion concentrates

TOP SECRET




Fig. 6

Expanded version of Fig. 5 showing comparative isotropic lotion base A in more detail

(1)

(2)



Fig. 7

Foam stability of inventive lamellar post foaming lotion (1) compared to comparative isotropic post foaming gel (2).